

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : SOLL et al.
Serial No. : 10/826,105
Filing Date : 16 April 2004
For : ANTIPARASITICIDAL AGENTS AND METHODS FOR
TREATING, PREVENTING AND CONTROLLING EXTERNAL
PARASITES IN ANIMALS
Examiner : Neil Levy
Art Unit : 1615
Filed via EFS-WEB
12 December 2007

3239 Satellite Blvd., Duluth, Georgia 30096-4640
745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10151

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT
AND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed on 12 September 2007, the invention of **Group VIII** (claims 26-29 and 33) is elected, **with traverse**, for search purposes.

The restriction requirement also included an election of species requirement for the elected claims of **Group VIII** with respect to species of N-arylpyrazole and species of solvent. The election of species, made **with traverse**, are as follows:

- (a) The N-arylpyrazole is the compound of formula (I) where R_2 is $S(O)_nR_3$; R_3 is CF_3 ; R_4 is amino; Ar represents 2,6-dichloro-4-trifluoromethyl phenyl and n is 1 (see claim 1 for formula (I));
- (b) The solvent is **diethylene glycol monoethyl ether**;
- (c) The second parasiticide is **ivermectin**;

(d) Although not elected in the restriction, the process of using claims (1-4, 7) were held to be generic. The applicants elect **light degradation** if the these claims are rejoined for examination.

(e) Although not elected in the restriction, the process of using claims (1-5) were held to be generic. The choices presented in the election of species were between animal, bird or pests. However, claims 1-5 are already directed to control or elimination of external parasites in an **animal**.

(f) Although not elected in the restriction, the process of using claims (1-5) were held to be generic for pests. The applicants elect **ticks** (although the applicants request expansion of the pests to **fleas and ticks**)